Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/384,315		BOUILLOUX ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Robert Selle	rs	1712	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Robert_Sellers.	(3)			
(2) <u>James E. Ruland</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 20 November 2003.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>21, 23, 42 and 44</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The modifications to the title, specification and claims suggested by the examiner and implemented in the attached examiner's amendment places the application in condition for allowance.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Francisco Nata Varia de la 11.6				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required		